IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AMRO ELANSARI : CIVIL ACTION

:

v. : NO. 20-423

:

JAGEX, INC., et al.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 30th day of January 2020, upon considering the *pro se* Plaintiff's Motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF Doc. No. 1) in his latest claim (most of which have been dismissed upon screening), and upon careful review of his sworn statement of \$1200 monthly income with no assets and \$1100 expenses including \$100 a month in "advertising" raising questions concerning the continued use of taxpayer funds which we may investigate upon inquiry or *sua sponte*¹ but finding grounds based on this sworn statement subject to further investigation, it is **ORDERED**:

- 1. Plaintiff's Motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF Doc. No. 1) is GRANTED; but,
- 2. The Clerk of Court shall neither issue summons nor proceed until further Order following our screening under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

KEARNEY, J

¹ See e.g. Holly v. Wexford Health Services, 339 Fed.App'x 633, 636 (7th Cir. 2009).